

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	CATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/648,590	08/2	25/2003	Ricky W. Purcell	1443.053US1	4252	
21186	7590	07/28/2005		EXAMINER		
SCHWEGN	SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. ROANE, AARON F					
P.O. BOX 29 MINNEAPO		55402-0938		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,,,,,,		. 3739		

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			•	SY				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>U</i>				
0		10/648,590	PURCELL ET AL.					
Office Ac	tion Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	•	Aaron Roane	3739					
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address					
THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS fror - If the period for reply speci - If NO period for reply is sp. - Failure to reply within the s Any reply received by the 6	ATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE E OF THIS COMMUNICATIO available under the provisions of 37 CFf in the mailing date of this communication fied above is less than thirty (30) days, a sciffed above, the maximum statutory pe et or extended period for reply will, by st Office later than three months after the ment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of th riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communi BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.				
Status								
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on 1	<u>6 May 2005</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is F		This action is non-final.						
3) Since this app	ication is in condition for allo	wance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the meri	ts is				
closed in acco	rdance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.l	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-16</u>	and 29-36 is/are pending in t	he application.						
4a) Of the abov	ve claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s)	_ is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-16</u>	and 29-36 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s)	B) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers			•					
9) The specification	on is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
10) The drawing(s)	filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may n	ot request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement dr	awing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	21(d).				
11) The oath or dec	claration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-15	2.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119							
	nt is made of a claim for fore ome * c)□ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
· ·-	copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.						
	copies of the priority docum		Application No.					
<u> </u>	, , ,		n received in this National Stage	9				
·	on from the International Bu	-						
• •	d detailed Office action for a	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	t received.					
Attachment(a)								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) 🔲 Other: _

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-16 and 29-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sabin (USPN 6,099,555) in view of Avery (USPN 5,486,206).

Regarding claims 6-9, 12, 14, 32, 33 and 35, Sabin discloses a cold pack (1) comprising: an enclosure (entire outer covering consisting of 2 and 2a), a powdered solute (24) within said enclosure, a liquid (28) within said enclosure, a membrane (portion of 2 and 2a located at 7) segregating said liquid from said powdered solute, wherein rupturing said membrane mixes said liquid with said powdered solute to produce an endothermic solution within said enclosure, and an absorbent core (26 of 8) within said enclosure, said absorbent core retaining said endothermic solution to spread said endothermic solution throughout said enclosure. Sabin further discloses that the absorbent core (26 of 8) is an absorbent layer. Finally, Sabin discloses solute is interspersed throughout said

absorbent layer before said membrane is ruptured, see col. 1-11 and figures 2 and 3. Additionally, it should be noted that the mixture of 24, 26 and 28 forms a gel, since 26 is a gelling agent. Sabin fails to disclose a fibrous material. Avery discloses a therapeutic thermal device comprising a gel (20) and teaches providing the gel with a fibrous material (e.g., 66) in order to increase gel viscosity and heat capacity, see abstract, col. 1-6 and figures 1-5. Therefore at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Sabin, as taught by Avery, to provide the gel with a fibrous material in order to increase gel viscosity and heat capacity.

Regarding claims 10 and 15, Sabin further discloses rupturing said membrane causes the endothermic solution to be retained by said absorbent core, see col. 1-11 and figures 2 and 3.

Regarding claim 11, Sabin further discloses the membrane is polyethylene, see col. 1-11 and figures 2 and 3, particularly see col. 8.

Regarding claim 13, Sabin further discloses the powdered solute is substantially between 0.001 and 0.025 inches, see col. 1-11 and figures 2 and 3.

Regarding claim 16, Sabin discloses the claimed invention, see col. 1-11 and figures 2 and 3.

Art Unit: 3739

Regarding claims 29, 30 and 36, Sabin discloses the claimed invention, see col. 1-11 and figures 2 and 3, particularly see col. 1 and 2.

Regarding claims 31 and 34, Sabin in view of Avery discloses the claimed invention, see Avery col. 4.

Response to Amendment

The examiner acknowledges the amendments to the claims and drawings and that these amendments more clearly define the presently claimed invention.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim5/16/2005 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. New prior art has been provided, Avery USPN 5,486,206 in order to address the amendments.

Art Unit: 3739

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron Roane whose telephone number is (571) 272-4771. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,590

Art Unit: 3739

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A.R. H. ... July 22, 2005

ROY D. GIBSON PRIMARY EXAMINER